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#### Abstract

We consider the problem of minimizing a sums of ratios that belong to a class of global optimization problems. Since the problem is nonconvex, the application of local search algorithms can not always guarantee to find a global solution. It has been shown that problem can be solved by DC programming methods and algorithms. Dinkelbach-type algorithms are more efficient techniques because fractional problems reduce to a scalarized optimization problem. For solving the problem, we apply a generalized Dinkelbach algorithm requires finding the roots of a nonlinear equation. The numerical experiments were conducted on Python Jupyter Notebook for a box constrained set. The problem also has been solved by a gradient descent method and compared with the Dinkelbach algorithm. Numerical results are provided.
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## 1. Introduction

The problem of optimizing one or several ratios of functions is called a fractional program [3]. In this paper, we consider the following fractional programming problem which consists of the sum of ratio convex functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{x \in D} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{f_{i}(x)}{g_{i}(x)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $D \subset R^{n}$ and $f_{i}(x), g_{i}(x), i=1,2, \ldots, N$ are convex on $D$.
The sum-of-ratios problem, which is to minimize a sum of several fractional functions subjected to convex constraints, is difficult to solve by traditional optimization methods. Fractional programs with only a single ratio or a maximum of finitely many ratios are fairly well understood. Under suitable conditions, these problems still satisfy some form of generalized convexity, which can be exploited in algorithms for the numerical solution of such problems [4]. On the other hand, fractional programs with sums of ratios are much more difficult and not as well understood Algorithms for classes of sum-of-ratios problems are described in [5-10], and in the review article [11]. Using the Dinkelbach algorithm [2] with vector parameter,the problem is linearized, become the following DC-type form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_{i}(x)-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i} g_{i}(x) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\lambda_{i} \subset R^{n}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$.

Solution of the problem (1.2) is determined by the root of the equation $F\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right)=0$. We also included Gradient descent (GD) method in our study, it is an iterative first-order optimization algorithm used to find a local minimum of a given objective function. To find a local minimum, the function "steps" in the direction of "the negative" of the gradient. Gradient descent method is widely used in field of deep learning especially neural networks such as DNN (deep neural network) [12], CNN (Convolutional Neural Networks) [13] and others. The goal of this method is find optimal solution when minimizing the differentiable objective function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{*}=\arg \min _{\theta \in D} F(\theta) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the standard approach is the following sequences

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{t+1}=\theta_{t}-\alpha_{t} \nabla F(\theta) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ is number of iterations.
The estimation of these two methods are performed by Python Jupyter Notebook and results are compared.

## 2. Methodology

### 2.1. SLSQP-Sequential Least-Squares Programming

SLSQP is a sequential quadratic programming (SQL) optimization algorithm proposed by Dieter Kraft in 1988 [14]. This is an iterative method for nonlinear optimization problems where objective function and constraints are twice continuously differentiable. Structure algorithm of SQL is the following nonlinear programming problems with minimizing a scalar function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min p(x) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to general equality and inequality constraints:

$$
\begin{gather*}
q_{i}(x) \geq 0, i=1,2, \ldots, k  \tag{2.2}\\
q_{j}(x)=0, j=k+1, \ldots, m \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and to lower and upper bounds on the variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{i} \leqslant x \leqslant u_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This problem (2.1)-(2.4) is can be solved by Lagrangian method

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(x, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)=p(x)-\mu_{1} q_{i}(x)-\mu_{2} q_{j}(x), i=1,2, \ldots, k ., j=k+1, \ldots, m \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ are Lagrangian multipliers [15].
SQP methods solve a sequence of optimization subproblems, each of which optimizes a quadratic model of the objective subject to a linearization of the constraints. If the problem is unconstrained, then the method reduces to Newton's method for finding a point where the gradient of the objective vanishes. If the problem has only equality constraints, then the method is equivalent to applying Newton's method to the first-order optimality conditions, or Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions, of the problem. At an iterate $x_{k}$, a basic sequential quadratic programming algorithm defines an appropriate search direction $d_{k}$ as a solution to the quadratic programming subproblem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{d} p\left(x_{k}\right)+\nabla p\left(x_{k}\right)^{T} d+\frac{1}{2} d^{T} W_{k}(x, \mu) d . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to general equality and inequality constraints:

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{i}\left(x_{k}\right)+\nabla q_{i}\left(x_{k}\right)^{T} d \geq 0, i=1,2, \ldots, k  \tag{2.7}\\
& q_{j}\left(x_{k}\right)+\nabla q_{j}\left(x_{k}\right)^{T} d=0, j=k+1, \ldots, m \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $W_{k}(x, \mu)$ denotes the Hessian of the Lagrangian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x, \mu)=\nabla_{x x}^{2} L(x, \mu) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $A$ is the Jacobian matrix, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x)^{T}=\left(\nabla q_{1}(x), \nabla q_{2}(x), \ldots, \nabla q_{m}(x)\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{i}(x)$ is $i$-th component of the vector $q(x)$ [15].
Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $x^{*}$ is a solution point of problem(2.1)-(2.4). Assume that the Jacobian $A_{*}$ of the active constraints at $x^{*}$ has full rank, that $d^{T} W_{*} d \geq 0$ for all $d \neq 0$ such that $A_{*} d=0$, and that strict complementary holds. Then if $\left(x_{k}, \mu_{k}\right)$ is sufficiently close to $\left(x^{*}, \mu^{*}\right)$, there is a local solution of the subproblem (2.6)-(2.8), whose active set $A_{k}$ is the same as the active set $A_{*}$ of the nonlinear program (2.1)-(2.4) at $x^{*}$.

### 2.2. Dinkelbach-type algorithm

The algorithms is divided into the following steps:
Step 1: Get initial guess $x^{0}$ then $\lambda_{i}^{0}=\frac{f_{i}\left(x^{0}\right)}{g_{i}\left(x^{0}\right)}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N$ and $k=1$.
Step 2: Solve the following minimization optimization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{x \in D} F\left(x, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right)=\min _{x \in D}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_{i}(x)-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}^{k-1} g_{i}(x)\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Get optimal solution $x^{k}$ then $\lambda_{i}^{k}=\frac{f_{i}\left(x^{k}\right)}{g_{i}\left(x^{k}\right)}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N$.
Step 3: If $F\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right)=0$ the algorithm stops and optimal solution is $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}^{k}$, $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Otherwise $k=k+1$ and goto Step 2 .
Minimization problem (2.11) estimated by SLSQP(Sequential Least-Squares Programming) method in Python Jupyter notebook.

### 2.3. Gradient descent method algorithm

The algorithm of gradient descent can be outlined as follows:
Step 1: Get initial guess $x_{0}$ and precision value $\varepsilon$.
Step 2: $k=k+1$ and find gradient of a given function: $s_{k}=-\nabla F\left(x_{k-1}\right)$,
where $\nabla F(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\nabla f_{i}(x) g_{i}(x)-\nabla g_{i}(x) f_{i}(x)}{g_{i}^{2}(x)}$.
Step 3: To choose the value $\alpha_{k}$, the consider the following problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{k}=\arg \min \left|F\left(x_{k-1}+\alpha_{k} s_{k-1}\right)\right| . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $x_{k}=x_{k-1}+\alpha_{k} s_{k-1}$.
Step 4: If $\left\|x_{k}-x_{k-1}\right\|<\varepsilon$ the algorithm stops and optimal solution is $F\left(x_{k}\right)$.
Otherwise goto Step 2.

Consider the quadratic function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(x)=\frac{1}{2} x^{\prime} Q x \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is positive and symmetric, and method of steepest descent

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k+1}=x_{k}-\alpha_{k} \nabla r\left(x_{k}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the stepsize $\alpha_{k}$ is chosen according to the minimization rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(x_{k}-\alpha_{k} \nabla r\left(x_{k}\right)\right)=\min _{\alpha \geq 0} r\left(x_{k}-\alpha \nabla r\left(x_{k}\right)\right) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.2. [16] The minimization problem (2.14) holds the following estimates for all $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(x_{k+1}\right) \leq\left(\frac{M-m}{M+m}\right)^{2} r\left(x_{k}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ and $m$ are the largest and smallest eigenvalues of $Q$, respectively.

### 2.4. Convergence of Dinkelbach-type algorithm

Convergence of Dinkelbach-type algorithm in fractional programming is formulated by [1]. Let be an open set $\Omega \in R^{n}$ given and functions $f_{i}(x), g_{i}(x): \Omega \rightarrow R, i=1,2, \ldots, m$, that are continuous on $\Omega$, and a closed set $S \subset \Omega$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}(x)>0, g_{i}(x)>0, i=1,2, \ldots, m, \quad x \in S \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the following fractional optimization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\min _{x} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{f_{i}(x)}{g_{i}(x)}, \quad x \in S \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with problem (2.18) we also create the parametric optimization problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.G(x, \alpha)=\min _{x} \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}(x)-\alpha_{i} g_{i}(x)\right) . x \in S \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, let introduce function $V(\alpha)$ of the optimal value to problem (2.19) as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\alpha)=\inf _{x}|G(x, \alpha)|=\inf _{x}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|f_{i}(x)-\alpha_{i} g_{i}(x)\right|: x \in S\right\} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, suppose that the following assumptions are fulfilled
$\bullet: V(\alpha)>-\infty, \alpha \in K$, where $K$ is a convex compact set from $R^{m}$.

- : $\alpha \in K \subset R^{m}$ there exists a solution $z=z(\alpha)$ to problem (2.19).

Theorem 2.3. [1] Suppose that in problem (2.18) the assumptions (2.17), (2.21) are satisfied. In addition, let there exists a vector $\alpha_{0}=\left(\alpha_{01}, \alpha_{02}, \ldots, \alpha_{0 m}\right)^{T} \in K \subset R^{m}$. Besides,suppose that problem (2.18) in $\alpha_{0}$ case, the following equality take place:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=\min _{x}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|f_{i}(x)-\alpha_{0 i} g_{i}(x)\right|: x \in S\right\}=0 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, any solution $z=z(\alpha)$ to (2.22) is a solution (2.18), so that $z \in \operatorname{Sol}(2.22) \subset \operatorname{Sol}(2.18)$ The proof of the this theorem is given in paper [1].

## 3. The results

In the numerical experiment, we solve the following minimization problem (3.1) considered for $\mathrm{N}=2$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{x \in D}\left\{\frac{\langle C x, x\rangle}{\left\langle C^{2} x, x\right\rangle}+\frac{\left.<C^{2} x, x\right\rangle}{\left.<C^{3} x, x\right\rangle}\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $D=\left\{x \in R^{n} \mid 1 \leqslant x \leqslant 100\right\}$ is compact and $C_{n x n}$ is Cesaro matrix [17] which is

$$
C=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n} & \ldots & \frac{1}{n}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The Table 1 shows results of the problem (3.1) using Dinkelbach algorithm up to 100 dimension.
Table 1: The result of problem 3.1.

| n | Dinkelbach |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\lambda_{1}$ | $\lambda_{2}$ | $\min \left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)$ | k | $\operatorname{time}(\mathrm{s})$ |
| 10 | 1.014 | 1.003 | 2.017 | 3 | 1.92 |
| 20 | 1.021 | 1.004 | 2.025 | 3 | 1.73 |
| 30 | 1.026 | 1.004 | 2.03 | 4 | 1.74 |
| 40 | 1.029 | 1.004 | 2.034 | 4 | 1.94 |
| 50 | 1.032 | 1.004 | 2.037 | 4 | 1.74 |
| 60 | 1.035 | 1.004 | 2.04 | 4 | 1.73 |
| 70 | 1.037 | 1.004 | 2.042 | 4 | 1.75 |
| 80 | 1.04 | 1.004 | 2.046 | 4 | 2.04 |
| 90 | 1.042 | 1.004 | 2.047 | 4 | 2.09 |
| 100 | 1.044 | 1.004 | 2.049 | 4 | 2.19 |

The Table 2 shows comparative results of between Dinkelbach algorithm and Gradient descent method in the minimization problem (3.1).

Table 2: Compared results of problem 3.1.

| n | Dinkelbach |  |  | Gradient descent |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | min | k | time(s) | min | k | time(s) |
| 10 | 2.017 | 3 | 1.92 | 1.969 | 3 | 2.2 |
| 20 | 2.025 | 3 | 1.73 | 2.074 | 3 | 1.86 |
| 30 | 2.03 | 4 | 1.74 | 2.143 | 3 | 2.51 |
| 40 | 2.034 | 4 | 1.94 | 2.194 | 3 | 1.76 |
| 50 | 2.037 | 4 | 1.74 | 2.234 | 3 | 1.73 |
| 60 | 2.04 | 4 | 1.73 | 2.268 | 3 | 1.92 |
| 70 | 2.042 | 4 | 1.75 | 2.296 | 3 | 1.72 |
| 80 | 2.046 | 4 | 2.04 | 2.321 | 3 | 1.64 |
| 90 | 2.047 | 4 | 2.09 | 2.342 | 3 | 1.6 |
| 100 | 2.049 | 4 | 2.19 | 2.362 | 3 | 1.61 |

## 4. Conclusions

We consider the sum-of-ratio fractional minimization problem (1.1) over a box constrained set with Cesaro matrix. We solve a problem (3.1) by two methods: Dinkelbach's algorithm and Gradient descent method. Comparisons of these two methods of the problem were made in up to 100 dimensions and the numerical results were conducted on Python Jupyter notebook.In convergence, these two methods are the same, but the solution of Dinkelbach algorithms appears to be more stable than Gradient descent methods solution when increasing the number of Cesaro matrix dimensions. In future, $\mathrm{N}=3$ or more cases will be considered.
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Хураангуй: Энэхуу судалгаандаа бид глобал оптимизацийн ангилалд багтах бутархай программчлалын минимумчлах бодлогыг авч үзсэн болно. Бодлого нь ерөнхий тохиолдолд гүдгэр биш тул локал хайлтын аргаар бодоход үргэлж глобал шийд олдохгүй. Энэ төрлийн бодлогыг DC програмчлалын аргаар шийдэж болохыг харуулсан. Динкельбах алгоритм нь бутархай программчлалын бодлогыг энгийн оптимизацийн бодлогод шилжүүлдэг тул илүу үр дүнтэй арга юм. Иймд энэ бодлогыг бодоход Динкельбах алгоритм ашиглан олон хувьсагчтай шугаман бус тэгшитгэлийн шийдийг олох арга руу шилжуүлэх боломжтой байдаг. Тооцооллыг Python Jupyter Notebook дээр 100 хүртэлх хэмжээсийн хувьд хийсэн ба үуний зэрэгцээ градиент бууралтын арга дээр үр дүнгийн туршилт хийж, Динкельбах алгоритмтай харьцуулсан болно.
Түлхуур үгс: Динкелбах алгоритм, градиент бууралтын арга

