

The Efficacy of Intravitreal Bevacizumab in Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration

Ariunzaya Altankhuyag¹, Zoljargal Tumendemberel¹, Chimedlkhamsuren Ganbold², Baasankhuu Jamiyanjav³, Sarantuya Jav², Uranchimeg Davaatseren¹

¹Department of Ophthalmology, School of Medicine, Mongolian National University of Medical Sciences, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia; ²Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, School of Biomedicine, Mongolian National University of Medical Sciences, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia; ³Bolor Melmii Hospital, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia

Submitted: November 5, 2022

Revised: November 24, 2022

Accepted: December 18, 2022

Corresponding Author

Uranchimeg Davaatseren MD, PhD,
Professor

Department of Ophthalmology,
School of Medicine, Mongolian
National University of Medical
Sciences, Ulaanbaatar 14210,
Mongolia

Tel: +976-9999-2174

E-mail: anyaa.mjl@gmail.com

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Copyright© 2022 Mongolian National University of Medical Sciences

Objective: To study the effect of cataract surgery with intravitreal bevacizumab therapy on visual and anatomical outcomes in patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration (AMD) in Mongolian patients. **Methods:** A retrospective analysis of 72 eyes of 36 patients with neovascular AMD evaluated intravitreal bevacizumab (1or2.5 mg) injections. Retreatment was performed following an optical coherence tomography (OCT)-based regimen. Ophthalmic examination included best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), dilated fundus examination, and OCT image analysis. **Results:** A total of 11 patients received cataract surgery. We could not observe statistical significance between the two groups. The BCVA of the right eye of patients having cataract surgery improved by 0.21 ± 0.29 , while the corresponding value for patients without surgery was 0.32 ± 0.32 . The mean central retinal thickness (CRT) of patients with refraction was decreased from $264.4 \pm 82.32 \mu\text{m}$ at baseline to $244.55 \pm 52.59 \mu\text{m}$ for the right eye, while it was $275.4 \pm 96.4 \mu\text{m}$ at baseline to $276.36 \pm 68.22 \mu\text{m}$ for the left eye. **Conclusion:** Cataract surgery with intravitreal bevacizumab therapy in eyes with neovascular AMD in aged patients was performed.

Keywords: Best-Corrected Visual Acuity; Optical Coherence Tomography; Age-Related Macular Degeneration

Introduction

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is a retinal degenerative disease that accounts for 8.7 % of all blindness worldwide [1-3]. It has been said that the estimated population of those affected by AMD worldwide was 196 million in 2020 and is projected to increase to 288 million by 2040 [1-3]. There are two main categories of AMD: a neovascular "wet" form

and a non-neovascular "dry" form. Both result in the partial or complete loss of central vision [4]. Compared to dry AMD, wet or neovascular AMD (nvAMD) accounts for almost 90% of blindness associated with AMD. The neovascular form of AMD is characterized by choroidal neovascular membrane formation and fibrosis that leads to acute visual loss [5]. Here, vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A) strongly induces vascular proliferation and migration of endothelial cells essential for both

physiological and pathological angiogenesis. In a low-oxygen environment, hypoxia cells produce transcription of the hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha (HIF-1 alpha) which further induces the release of VEGF-A [6-9].

The treatment of nvAMD has been developed over time. Laser photocoagulation was the earliest form of nvAMD treatment, which was targeted to destroy choroidal neovascularization (CNV). However, alone or combined with photodynamic therapy it could not improve the visual acuity in the patients [10]. On the other hand, several clinical trials confirmed anti-VEGF agents as the most relevant pharmacological strategies to improve treatment outcomes [11-14]. For example, ranibizumab is a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody. Lowe et al demonstrated that ranibizumab was capable of binding to recombinant human VEGF165, VEGF121, and VEGF110 (KD < or = 192 pM) [15]. Another anti-VEGF agent is bevacizumab, which is a recombinant humanized anti-VEGF IgG1. A meta-analysis by Pham et al showed that the vision gain was not significantly different in patients with cn-AMD, DMO, RVO-MO, and m-CNV treated with bevacizumab versus ranibizumab [16].

Numerous studies demonstrated that AMD is the main cause of blindness in elderly patients. It has been also revealed that AMD prevalence is highly dependent on both genetic and environmental factors. A population-based cross-sectional study of 3280 Malay adults aged 40-80 years showed that, after adjusting for age, gender, smoking, hypertension, diabetes and, body mass index, participants with lower educational levels were significantly more likely to have early AMD (multivariate OR 2.2, 95 % CI 1.2 to 4.0) [17]. A Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey also showed non-overweight status and higher HDL levels, generally assumed as positive health indicators, however, anemia and hepatitis B infection had a harmful associations with AMD [18, 19].

The 2013 Accelerated Study of Avoidable Blindness in Mongolia showed that age-related AMD prevalence has been estimated at 4.2 %. Investigating proper treatments, as well as developing comprehensive health strategies to prevent blindness caused by cataracts are highly demanded. Since there are not any population-based studies performed so far on age-related AMD in Mongolia, we aimed in the present study to determine the treatment regimen of nv-AMD in Mongolian patients.

Materials and Methods

Research design

We conducted a retrospective case-control study. A total of 36 participants were recruited at 2 field centers (Mongolia-Japan Hospital and Bolor Melmii Eye Hospital) in Mongolia. There were 11 patients who received cataract surgery in the case group and 25 patients in the control group. Participants in the control group did not receive cataract surgery and were matched by age with the case group. At each follow-up visit, all patients underwent best-corrected VA (BCVA) testing, intraocular pressure measurement, and biomicroscopic examination. All patients were diagnosed with nv-AMD based on FA. 14 patients received intravitreal injections of bevacizumab. Inclusion criteria for study analysis were any reduced visual acuity (VA) associated with neovascular AMD demonstrating leakage by FA as well as intra- or subretinal fluid by OCT imaging.

All injections were performed under sterile conditions in the operating room. Bevacizumab was injected into the vitreous cavity using a 30-gauge needle inserted through the inferotemporal pars plana 3.5 mm posterior to the limbus. Patients were instructed to instill one drop of topical corticoid and antibiotics (dexamethasone and gentamicin) into the injected eye after the treatment.

Data evaluation and outcome measures

The following data were collected from the medical records at baseline, at 14 days, month 1, 3 and 6 after the first injection with bevacizumab. Outcome parameters of this study included mean VA, mean 1 mm CRT, change of VA scores and OCT measurements from baseline, consecutive number of injections required to achieve a fluid-free macula, injection free interval and total number of injections received by a patient within 1 year.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are presented as means \pm standard deviation (SD). The mean of continuous variables for each group were checked for outliers and missing data. To compare the mean continuous variables between two groups, an unpaired t-test was carried out. Categorical variables were compared

using the Chi-square test where applicable. Multiple logistic regression was done for cataract risk factors. A P-value of 0.05 or less was considered significant. STATA version 14 software was used for statistical analyses.

Ethical statement

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Mongolian National University of Medical Sciences (No.2018/03/11). All patients provided written informed consent before participating in this study.

Results

Seventy-two eyes of 36 patients were included in the analysis. Baseline characteristics are given in Table 1. The mean age of the

participants was 76 (SD 5.5) and 12 were men. The mean visual acuity of the right eye was 0.22 ± 0.28 and, the left eye was 0.23 ± 0.26 . The mean pre-operative BCVA were 0.31 ± 0.30 and 0.28 ± 0.29 for the right and left eye respectively.

The cataract surgery is presented in Table 2. A total of 11 patients received cataract surgery. We could not observe statistical significance between the two groups. The BCVA of the right eye of patients having cataract surgery improved 0.21 ± 0.29 , while the corresponding value for patients without surgery was 0.32 ± 0.32 . However, BCVA of the left eye with surgery was 0.34 ± 0.25 .

In Table 3, the mean CRT of patients with refraction decreased from $264.4 \pm 82.32 \mu\text{m}$ at baseline to $244.55 \pm 52.59 \mu\text{m}$ for the right eye, and it was $275.4 \pm 96.4 \mu\text{m}$ at baseline to $276.36 \pm 68.22 \mu\text{m}$ for the left eye.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients.

Variables	Mean \pm SD
Age, years	76 \pm 5.5
Visual acuity right eye	0.22 \pm 0.28
Visual acuity left eye	0.23 \pm 0.26
BCVA right eye	0.31 \pm 0.30
BCVA left eye	0.28 \pm 0.29
TOD	13.8 \pm 3.47
TOS	14.31 \pm 3.71
CRT RE	264.4 \pm 82.32
CRT LE	275.4 \pm 96.4
IVAvastin right eye	3.5 \pm 2.7
IVAvastin left eye	2.5 \pm 1.9
Gender	N (%)
Male	12 (33.3)
Female	24 (66.7)
Cataract surgery	
Yes	11 (31.0)
No	25 (69.0)
Refraction	
Yes	14 (39.0)
No	22 (61.0)

Table 2. Cataract surgery.

Variables	Cataract Surgery			p-value
	Case (n=11)	Control (n=25)	Total (n=36)	
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	
Age, years	78.36 ± 7.2	74.96 ± 4.47	76.0 ± 5.57	0.169
Visual acuity right eye	0.19 ± 0.28	0.24 ± 0.28	0.22 ± 0.28	0.609
Visual acuity left eye	0.27 ± 0.21	0.22 ± 0.28	0.23 ± 0.26	0.521
BCVA right eye	0.21 ± 0.29	0.32 ± 0.32	0.28 ± 0.32	0.348
BCVA left eye	0.34 ± 0.25	0.26 ± 0.31	0.28 ± 0.30	0.425
TOD	13.55 ± 4.06	13.92 ± 3.28	13.81 ± 3.48	0.791
TOS	13.64 ± 3.32	14.6 ± 3.91	14.31 ± 3.72	0.456
CRT right eye	244.55 ± 52.59	273.08 ± 92.03	264.36 ± 82.32	0.249
CRT left eye	276.36 ± 68.22	275.04 ± 107.7	275.44 ± 96.40	0.965
IV Avastin right eye	4.28 ± 1.70	3.13 ± 3.02	3.5 ± 2.68	0.268
IV Avastin left eye	3.11 ± 2.14	2.07 ± 1.75	2.45 ± 1.93	0.237
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	
Gender				
Male	4 (36.4)	8 (32.0)	12 (33.3)	0.832
Female	7 (63.6)	17 (68.0)	24 (66.7)	
Refraction				
Yes	5 (38.8)	9 (36.0)	14 (38.9)	0.869
No	6 (61.2)	16 (64.0)	22 (61.1)	

Table 3. Refraction.

Variables	Refraction			p-value
	Yes (n=14)	No (n=22)	Total	
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	
Age, years	76.0 ± 5.75	76.0 ± 5.59	76.0 ± 5.57	0.341
Visual acuity right eye	0.25 ± 0.31	0.21 ± 0.27	0.22 ± 0.28	0.658
Visual acuity left eye	0.27 ± 0.21	0.22 ± 0.28	0.23 ± 0.26	0.521
BCVA right eye	0.21 ± 0.29	0.32 ± 0.32	0.28 ± 0.32	0.348
BCVA left eye	0.34 ± 0.25	0.26 ± 0.31	0.28 ± 0.30	0.425
TOD	13.55 ± 4.06	13.92 ± 3.28	13.81 ± 3.48	0.791
TOS	13.64 ± 3.32	14.6 ± 3.91	14.31 ± 3.72	0.456
CRT right eye	244.55 ± 52.59	273.08 ± 92.03	264.36 ± 82.32	0.249
CRT left eye	276.36 ± 68.22	275.04 ± 107.7	275.44 ± 96.40	0.965
IV Avastin right eye	4.28 ± 1.70	3.13 ± 3.02	3.5 ± 2.68	0.268
IV Avastin left eye	3.11 ± 2.14	2.07 ± 1.75	2.45 ± 1.93	0.237
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	
Gender				
Male	8 (57.1)	4 (18.2)	12 (33.3)	0.039
Female	6 (42.9)	18 (81.8)	24 (66.7)	
Cataract surgery				
Yes	5 (35.7)	6 (27.3)	11 (30.6)	0.869
No	9 (69.3)	16 (72.7)	25 (69.4)	

Table 4. Multiple logistic regression model cataract.

Independent Variable	OR	95% CI	p-value
Age, years	1.19	0.08 - 2.34	0.119
Visual acuity right eye	1.03	0.11 - 14.11	0.451
Visual acuity left eye	1.01	0.01 - 9.41	0.627
Gender			
Male	1.00	Reference	
Female	1.10	0.42 - 1.42	0.842
Refraction			
No	1.00	Reference	
Yes	1.54	0.21 - 1.42	0.210

Discussion

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is a leading cause of vision loss for individuals aged more than 40 years. It has been demonstrated that patients with nv-AMD lose 3 lines of visual acuity in one year [20]. Ambati et al showed that the key mediator of the progression of AMD to late nv-AMD is vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) [21]. VEGF promotes angiogenesis in the initial stage of choroidal neovascularization that further leads to increased vascular permeability. Induced VEGF-A binds to the receptor and activates several signaling pathway such as the mitogen-activated protein kinase- (MAPK-) p38 signaling pathway, the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase- (PI3K-) AKT protein kinase B pathway, and the phospholipase C gamma (PLC γ) releasing intracellular calcium, promoting prostaglandin production, and increasing vascular permeability.

Numerous studies have shown that cataract surgery leads to a significant increase in visual function quality. In the current study, we examined cataract surgery outcomes of patients with age-related nv-AMD. The mean age of our study was 76 ± 5.5 . Our study found that the BCVA of the right eye of patients having cataract surgery improved 0.21 ± 0.29 , while the corresponding value for patients without surgery was 0.32 ± 0.32 . In the study of Jackson et al, cataract surgery in patients with retinitis pigmentosa resulted in the mean visual acuity improvement from 1.05 (SD 0.38) preoperatively to 0.63 (SD 0.49) postoperatively on the logMAR scale. Visual acuity improved in 109 eyes (77 %), was unchanged in 29 eyes (20.5 %), and worsened after surgery in four eyes (2.5 %). 86/89 patients reported major improvement of visual function [22]. Another study of retinitis pigmentosa patients also showed that, under photopic conditions, the mean

BCVA improved from 0.57 to 0.31 logMAR units ($P = 0.002$), from 0.73 to 0.47 logMAR units with 100% contrast ($P = 0.029$), and from 1.1 to 0.8 logMAR units with 10 % contrast ($P = 0.006$). Under mesopic conditions, the BCVA improved from 0.87 to 0.59 logMAR units with 100 % contrast ($P = 0.02$), but no significant improvement was found with 10% contrast ($P = 0.18$) [23]. We also observed that patients with a worse VA at baseline showed more improvement in vision through cataract surgery.

Further, in the present study, mean CRT of patients with refraction changed from $264.4 \pm 82.32 \mu\text{m}$ at baseline to $244.55 \pm 52.59 \mu\text{m}$ for the right eye, and $275.4 \pm 96.4 \mu\text{m}$ at baseline to $276.36 \pm 68.22 \mu\text{m}$ for the left eye. Moshfeghi et al presented that, CRT with the value of $342 \mu\text{m}$ and $301 \mu\text{m}$ in the laser control and IAI groups increased to $364 \mu\text{m}$ ($P > 0.05$ compared with last visit before surgery) and $359 \mu\text{m}$ ($P = 0.013$ compared with last visit before surgery), respectively [24]. A prospective study conducted on 115 yeye of 95 patients who had phacoemulsification also resulted the increased CRT from $234 \pm 48 \mu\text{m}$ at baseline to $248 \pm 48 \mu\text{m}$ at M1 ($P = 0.005$), and $252 \pm 81 \mu\text{m}$ at M3 ($P = 0.001$) [25 - 28].

We have some limitations in the present study. Our sample size was too small thus future studies with even larger sample sizes may be needed to validate our findings. Another limitation is that the present study lacks the investigation of the longer-term macular changes and visual results. Previous studies demonstrated changes in visual acuity during the long-term investigation. Therefore, we should conduct longer-term clinical follow-up with our patients.

References

1. Ma W, Wong WT. Aging Changes in Retinal Microglia and their Relevance to Age-related Retinal Disease. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2016; 854: 73-8.
2. Cheung LK, Eaton A. Age-related macular degeneration. *Pharmacotherapy* 2013; 33: 838-55.
3. Khandhadia S, Cipriani V, Yates JR, Lotery AJ. Age-related macular degeneration and the complement system. *Immunobiology* 2012; 217: 127-46.
4. Kokotas H, Grigoriadou M, Petersen MB. Age-related macular degeneration: genetic and clinical findings. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2011; 49: 601-16.
5. Sayman MIB, Kandemir B, Aydin O, Kugu S, Dastan M, et al. Long-term vision-threatening complications of phakic intraocular lens implantation for high myopia. *Inter J Ophthalmol* 2020; 7: 376-80.
6. Kamiya K, Igarashi A, Shimizu K, Matsumura K, Komatsu M, et al. Visual performance after posterior chamber phakic intraocular lens implantation and wavefront-guided laser in situ keratomileusis for low to moderate myopia. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2012; 153: 1178-86.
7. Smith RT, Waring GO, Durrie DS, Stahl JE, Thomas P, et al. Corneal endothelial cell density after femtosecond thin-flap LASIK and PRK for myopia: a contralateral eye study. *J Refract Surg* 2009; 25: 1098-102.
8. Al-Ageel S, Al-Muammar AM. Comparison of central corneal thickness measurements by pentacam, noncontact specular microscope, and ultrasound pachymetry in normal and post-LASIK eyes. *Saudi J Ophthalmol* 2009; 23: 181-7.
9. Forsythe JA, Jiang BH, Iyer NV, Agani F, Leung SW, Koos RD, et al. Activation of vascular endothelial growth factor gene transcription by hypoxia-inducible factor 1. *Mol Cell Biol* 1996; 16: 4604-13.
10. Murohara T, Horowitz JR, Silver M, Tsurumi Y, Chen D, Sullivan A, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor/vascular permeability factor enhances vascular permeability via nitric oxide and prostacyclin. *Circulation* 1998; 97: 99-107.
11. Feliers D, Chen X, Akis N, Choudhury GG, Madaio M, Kasinath BS, et al. VEGF regulation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase in glomerular endothelial cells. *Kidney Int* 2005; 68: 1648-59.
12. Rousseau S, Houle F, Landry J, Huot J. p38 MAP kinase activation by vascular endothelial growth factor mediates actin reorganization and cell migration in human endothelial cells. *Oncogene* 1997; 15: 2169-77.
13. Berg K, Pedersen TR, Sandvik L, Bragadóttir R. Comparison of ranibizumab and bevacizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration according to LUCAS treat-and-extend protocol. *Ophthalmology* 2015; 122: 146-52.
14. Kodjikian L, Souied EH, Mimoun G, Mauget-Fajssse M, Behar-Cohen F, Decullier E, et al. GEFAL study group. Ranibizumab versus Bevacizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration: results from the GEFAL noninferiority randomized trial. *Ophthalmology* 2013; 120: 2300-9.
15. Dugel PU, Koh A, Ogura Y, Jaffe GJ, Schmidt-Erfurth U, Brown DM, et al. Multicenter, randomized, double-masked trials of brolucizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmology* 2020; 127: 72-84.
16. Li X, Xu G, Wang Y, Xu X, Liu X, Tang S, et al. AURORA study group. Safety and efficacy of conbercept in neovascular age-related macular degeneration: results from a 12-month randomized phase 2 study: AURORA study. *Ophthalmology* 2014; 121: 1740-7.
17. Lowe J, Araujo J, Yang J, Reich M, Oldendorf A, Shiu V, et al. Ranibizumab inhibits multiple forms of biologically active vascular endothelial growth factor in vitro and in vivo. *Exp Eye Res* 2007; 85: 425-30.
18. Pham B, Thomas SM, Lillie E, Lee T, Hamid J, Richter T, et al. Anti-vascular endothelial growth factor treatment for retinal conditions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2019; 9: 22-31.
19. Cackett P, Tay WT, Aung T, Wang JJ, Shankar A, Saw SM, et al. Education, socio-economic status and age-related macular degeneration in Asians: the Singapore Malay eye study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2008; 92: 1312-5.
20. Park SJ, Lee JH, Woo SJ, Ahn J, Shin JP, Song SJ, et al. Epidemiologic survey committee of the Korean ophthalmologic society. Age-related macular degeneration: prevalence and risk factors from Korean national health and nutrition examination survey, 2008 through 2011. *Ophthalmology* 2014; 121: 1756-65.
21. Wong T, Chakravarthy U, Klein R, Mitchell P, Zlateva G, Buggage R, et al. The natural history and prognosis of neovascular age-related macular degeneration: a systematic

- review of the literature and meta-analysis. *Ophthalmology* 2008; 115: 116-26.
22. Ambati J, Fowler BJ. Mechanisms of age-related macular degeneration. *Neuron* 2012; 75: 26-39.
 23. Pugazhendhi A, Hubbell M, Jairam P, Ambati B. Neovascular macular degeneration: a review of etiology, risk factors, and recent advances in research and therapy. *Int J Mol Sci* 2021; 22: 1170.
 24. Wilkinson JM, Cozine EW, Kahn AR. Refractive eye surgery: helping patients make informed decisions about LASIK. *Am Fam Physician* 2017; 95: 637-44.
 25. Jackson H, Garway-Heath D, Rosen P, Bird AC, Tuft SJ, et al. Outcome of cataract surgery in patients with retinitis pigmentosa. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2001; 85: 936-8.
 26. Hagiwara K, Ogata K, Oomachi T, Sugawara S. Contrast visual acuity of retinitis pigmentosa patients after cataract surgery. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2010; 51: 1361-8.
 27. Moshfeghi AA, Thompson D, Berliner AJ, Saroj N. Outcomes in patients with diabetic macular edema requiring cataract surgery in VISTA and VIVID studies. *Ophthalmol Retina* 2020; 4: 481-5.
 28. Pierru A, Carles M, Gastaud P, Baillif S. Measurement of subfoveal choroidal thickness after cataract surgery in enhanced depth imaging optical coherence tomography. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2014; 55: 4967-74.